Speaking PowerPoint Bibliography

Chapter 1

ASU study as quoted in The New Yorker, Annals of Business Section, May 28, 2001, Pg. 76. >>

Kaplan, S. (2010). Strategy and PowerPoint: An Inquiry into the Epistemic Culture and Machinery of Strategy Making. Rotman School of Management Working Paper No. 1569762. >>

Mengis, J., Eppler, M.J. Seeing versus Arguing The Moderating Role of Collaborative Visualization in Team Knowledge Integration, in: Journal of Universal Knowledge Management, 2006, 3 (13), pp. 151-162. >>

Sadoski, M. (1983). An Exploratory Study of the Relationships Between Reported Imagery and the Comprehension and Recall of a Story. Reading Research Quarterly, 19, 110-123.

Sadoski, M. (1985). The Natural Use of Imagery in Story Comprehension and Recall: Replication and Extension. Reading Research Quarterly, 20, 658-667.

Vogel, D., Dickson, G., & Lehman, J. (1986). Persuasion and the Role of Visual Presentation Support: The UM/3M Study (MISRC-WP-86-11), Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, Management Information Systems Research Center. >>

Childers T.L., & Houston M.J. (1984). Conditions for a Picture Superiority Effect on Consumer Memory. Journal of Consumer Research, 11, 643-654. >>

Long, S.A., Winograd, P.A. & Bridge, C.A. (1989). The Effects of Reader and Text Characteristics on Reports of Imagery During and After Reading. Reading Research Quarterly, 24, 353-372.

University of Pennsylvania. "Visual Learners Convert Words To Pictures In The Brain And Vice Versa, Says Psychology Study." ScienceDaily 28 March 2009. >>

Kreger Silverman, L. "Upside-Down Brilliance: The Visual-Spatial Learner". 2005. >>

Chapter 2

Petty, R.E. & Cacioppo, J.T. (1986). The Elaboration Likelihood Model of persuasion. New York: Academic Press. >>

Tracey, J.R., Rugh, D.E. & Starkey, W.S. "Sequential Topical Organization of Publications." 1965

Chapter 3

Lagerwerf, L. (2008). "Selective Reading of Business Reports: Effects of Graphic and Verbal Advance Organizers" Paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Communication Association, TBA, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, May 22, 2008. >>

Minto, Barbara. The Pyramid Principle. Minto International. 1987.

Chapter 4

Mayer, Robert. How to Win Any Argument. Career Press. 2005.

Stone, V.A. (1969). A Primacy Effect in Decision-Making by Jurors. Journal of Communication, 19: 239–247. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1969.tb00846.x

Bryant, Adam. "Meetings, Version 2.0, at Microsoft". New York Times, May 16, 2009 p. BU2. >>

Bransford, J.D., & Johnson, M.K. (1972). Contextual Prerequisites for Understanding: Some Investigations of Comprehension and Recall. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 11, 717-726. >>

Kosslyn, Stephen M. Clear and to the Point. Oxford University Press. 2007.

Chapter 5

Boettinger, Henry M. Moving Mountains. Macmillan Publishing. 1969.

Aristotle's Rhetoric >>

Cialdini, Robert B. Influence. Allyn & Bacon. 2001.

Lagerwerf, L., Bossers, E.(2002). Assessing Business Proposals: Genre Conventions and Audience Response in Document Design. Journal of Business Communication, 39 (4). pp. 437-460. ISSN 0021-9436

Denning, Stephen. The Leader's Guide to Storytelling. John Wiley & Sons. 2005.

Chapter 6

Reynolds, Garr. Presentation Zen. New Riders. 2008.

Chapter 7

Garner, J., Alley, M., Gaudelli, A. & Zappe, S. (2009). The Common Use of PowerPoint versus the Assertion–Evidence Structure: A Cognitive Psychology Perspective. Technical Communication, 56 (4).

Rezaie, A. & Hosseini, M. (2005). The Impact of Interrogative and Declarative Advance Organizers on Iranian EFL Learners' Comprehension of ESP Reading Tasks. Working paper presented at International Conference on Task-Based Language Teaching, Sept 21-23, 2005. >>

Chapter 8

Blankenship J., Dansereau, D.F. (2000). The Effect of Animated Node-Link Displays on Information Recall. Journal of Experimental Education 68:293–308.

Mahar, S., Yaylacicegi, U. & Janicki, T.N. (2009) The Dark Side of Custom Animations. International Journal of Innovation and Learning. >>

Chapter 9

Kassin, S. M. & Dunn M.A. (1997). Computer-Animated Displays and the Jury: Facilitative and Prejudicial Effects. Law & Human Behavior, 21, 269-281. >>

Bright, D.A. & Goodman-Delahunty (2004). The influence of gruesome verbal evidence on mock juror verdicts, Psychiatry, Psychology, and Law, 11, 154-166. >>

Bornstein, B.H. & Nemeth, R.J., "Jurors' Perception of Violence: A Framework for Inquiry," 4 Aggression & Violent Behav. 77. >>

Colorado State University. "Brain Images Make Cognitive Research More Believable." Science Daily 8 October 2007. 22 August 2010. >>

Mayer, Richard E, Multi-Media Learning. Cambridge University Press. 2001.

Levie, W.H. & Lentz, R. (1982). Effects of Text Illustrations: A Review of Research. Educ. Comm. Technol. J. 30: 195–232.

Sadoski, Mark & Paivio, Allan. Imagery and Text: A Dual Coding Theory of Reading and Writing. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 2001.

Carney, R.N. & Levin, J.R. Pictorial Illustrations Still Improve Students' Learning from Text. Educ Psychol Rev 2002;14:5–26. >>

Lagerwerf, L., & Meijers, A. (2005). Inferred Metaphors or Inappropriateness? Effects of Trope and Openness. Conference Papers -- International Communication Association. >>

Hasher, L., Goldstein, D. & Toppino, T. (1977). Frequency and the Conference of Referential Validity. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 16 (February), 107-112. >>

Bresciani S., Eppler M.J. & Subramanian S.V. (2009). Enhancing Group Information Sharing Through Interactive Visualization: Experimental Evidence.

Tversky, B & Heiser, J. (2008). Mental Models of Complex Systems: Structure and Function. >>

Kalyuga, S., Ayres, P. Chandler, P. & Sweller, J. (2003). The Expertise Reversal Effect. Educational Psychologist 38 (1): 23–31. >>
Horn, Robert E., Visual Language: Global Communication for the 21st Century. MacroVU. 1998.

Chapter 10

Paradi, D. (2009). Annoying PowerPoint Survey. Retrieved August 24, 2010 >>

Blokzijl, W. & Andeweg, B. (2005). The effects of text slide format and presentational quality on learning in college lectures. *Proceedings of the IEEE international professional communication conference (IPCC)*: 288-99. >>

Vogel, D., Dickson, G. & Lehman, J. (1986). Persuasion and the Role of Visual Presentation Support: The UM/3M study (MISRC-WP-86-11), Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, Management Information Systems Research Center.

Johnson, K. (2008). Literature Review: Perceptions and Cognitive Impact of Using PowerPoint. >>

Mayer, R. & Johnson, C. (2008). Revising the Redundancy Principle in Multimedia Learning. American Psychological Association, May 2008.

Jamet, E. & Le Bohec, O. (2007). The Effect of Redundant Text in Multimedia Instruction

Mayer, R., Dow, G. & Mayer, S. (2003). Multimedia learning in an interactive self-explaining environment: What works in the design of agent-based microworlds? *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 95, 806-813

Le Bohec, O. & Jamet, (2008). Levels of Verbal Redundancy, Note-Taking and Multimedia Learning. As cited in Understanding Multimedia Documents, pp. 87-90.

Blokzijl, W. & Andeweg, B. (2007). The effect of text slides compared to visualizations on learning and appreciation in lectures. *Proceedings of the IEEE international professional communication conference (IPCC)*.

Farkas, D. (2005). Understanding and Using PowerPoint. *STC Annual Conference Proceedings*, May 8-11, 2005, pp. 313-320. >>

Marsh, E.J. & Sink, H.E. (2009). Access to Handouts of Presentation Slides During Lecture: Consequences for Learning. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 24: 691–706.

Moreno, R. & Mayer, R. E. (2001, April). Getting the Message Across: The Role of Verbal Redundancy in Multimedia Explanations. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, WA >>

Atherton, C. (2009). Visual Attention: a Psychologist's Perspective. Presentation to Technical Communication UK Conference.

Mousavi, S., Low, R. & Sweller, J. (1995). Reducing Cognitive Load by Mixing Auditory and Visual Presentation Modes. Journal of Educational Psychology, 87, 319-334. >>

Mayer, Robert E. Multi-Media Learning. Cambridge University Press. 2001.

Tabbers, H. (2002). The Modality of Text in Multimedia Instructions: Refining the Design Guidelines. ISBN: 90-9016056-0. >>
Lagerwerf, L. & Bossers, E. (2002) Assessing Business Proposals: Genre Conventions and Audience Response in Document Design. Journal of Business Communication, 39 (4). pp. 437-460. ISSN 0021-9436

Poynter News University. (2010). Beyond the Inverted Pyramid: Creating Alternate Story Forms >>

Horn, Robert E. (1992) How High Can It Fly-Examining the Evidence on Information Mapping's Method of High-Performance Communication, Lexington Institute. >>
AND >>

Eyetrack III. 2004. >>

Beymer, D., Russell, D.M. & Orton, P.Z. An Eye Tracking Study of How Pictures Influence Online Reading, INTERACT Conference, Rio de Janerio, Brazil (September, 2007). >>

Chapter 11	Meyers-Levy, J. & Peracchio, L.A. (1995). Understanding the Effects of Colors: How the Correspondence between Available and Required Resources Affects Attitudes," Journal of Consumer Research, 22 (September), 121-138. >>

The Color Marketing Group. The Profit of Color >>

3M Corporation. "The Power of Color in Presentations" in monthly newsletter "Meeting Guides". >>

Woodman, G.F., Vogel, E.K., & Luck, S.J. (2001). Visual Search Remains Efficient when Visual Working Memory is Full. Psychological Science, 12, 219–224. >>

Kaya, N. & Epps, H. (2004). Relationship between Color and Emotion: A Study of College Students. College Student Journal, 38, 396-405. >>

Williams, Robin. The Non-Designer's Design Book. Peachpit Press. 2008.

| Chapter 12 | Sweller, J. (2002). Visualisation and instructional Design. Paper presented at the International Workshop on Dynamic Visualisations and Learning. Knowledge Media Research Center, Tubingen, Germany. >> |

| Chapter 13 | Song, H. & Schwarz, N. (2008). If it's Hard to Read, It's Hard to Do: Processing Fluency Affects Effort Prediction and Motivation. Psychological Science, 19, 986–988. >> |

Reber, R., Schwarz, N. & Winkielman, P. (2004). Processing Fluency and Aesthetic Pleasure: Is Beauty in the Perceiver's Processing Experience? Personality & Social Psychology Review, 8, 364-382. >>

Winkielman, P. & Cacioppo, J.T. (2001). Mind at Ease Puts a Smile on the Face: Psychophysiological Evidence that Processing Facilitation Leads to Positive Affect. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 81, 989-1000. >>

Novemsky, N., Dhar, R., Schwarz, N. & Simonson, I. (2007). Preference Fluency in Choice. Journal of Marketing Research, 44 (August), 347–56. >>

Alter, A. & Oppenheimer, D. (2006). Predicting Short-Term Stock Fluctuations by Using Processing Fluency. >>

| Chapter 14 | none |

| Chapter 15 | Vogel, D., Dickson, G. & Lehman, J. (1986). Persuasion and the Role of Visual Presentation Support: The UM/3M study (MISRC-WP-86-11), Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, Management Information Systems Research Center. >> |

Heath, Chip & Heath, Dan. Made to Stick. Random House. 2007.

Marsh, E.J. & Sink, H.E. (2009). Access to Handouts of Presentation Slides During Lecture: Consequences for Learning. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 24: 691–706. >>